




The Rate of Surface Evolution on the Moon (and Why it Matters)



Fresh Crater
T~0.01 Ga



Moderately Degraded
T~3 Ga




Very Degraded
T~3.7 Ga



Caleb Fassett
August 23, 2019

Planetary Geomorphology


- Use observations of topography and geology:
 - To understand the physical processes that affect planet surfaces;
 - To infer geologic history and environment;
 - To help set boundary conditions for future exploration.



Tectonism



Ice



Wind

Rates and Ages

■ Remote Sensing: *Orbital Exploration*

Geochronology from impact crater density...

...Relative age interpretations, done carefully, are reliable.

...Absolute ages on Moon, extrapolated elsewhere.



■ Fieldwork: *In Situ Exploration*

In situ geochronology in a few places. Future might be bright: many new concepts and instruments



■ Experimental work + Sample Analysis


Best example: Dating of lunar sample collection from well-characterized field sites



Motivating science questions

1. How does the topography and regolith of the Moon evolve?
2. Can we constrain the age of features and surface from their topography?
3. Can we understand future landing sites?

LROC NAC Synthetic Perspective of North Ray Crater (50 My old)






The Moon's Surface

1. Ubiquitous **regolith**, extremely rare **bedrock**.
2. Sizable rocks on the surface are almost always associated with fresh craters or very steep slopes.



The Moon's Surface




The Moon's Surface

3. Hillslopes (and craters) are rounded unless they are very fresh.



Swann Ridge, Apollo 15, the Moon



North Massif, Apollo 17

Rounded Hillslopes

Dietrich and
Perron, 2006



Atacama Desert




Swann Ridge, Apollo 15, the Moon



Columbia Hills, MER Spirit, Mars

Landform Evolution

Diffusion




Perturbations damped

Rainsplash, freeze-thaw, gophers



Advection



Perturbations grow

Rivers, glaciers, landslides



Diffusion and Cratering

“...[impact cratering] is analogous, but generally at a larger scale, to the effect of a raindrop ...”

Alan Howard, 2007 (Geomorphology)






Fig. 2. The geometry of the ejecta trajectories of a crater eroding a surface with slope ϕ .




North Massif, Apollo 17


Lunar Craters




'Zap pits' $D \sim 1$ mm
(Apollo sample 64455)




Linné Crater $D = 2.2$ km
(LROC NAC)



Tycho Crater $D = 90$ km
(Kaguya Terrain Camera)



Schrodinger Basin $D = 310$ km
(Clementine)



Craters at all scales, but small craters form much more often.

Simple Craters: Known, self-similar initial forms








Fig. 1. Apparent depth (R_d)/apparent diameter (D_d) relation for 170 fresh lunar craters. See text for explicit descriptions of variables and their mensuration. All data from maps and profiles compiled photogrammetrically from Apollo 15, 16, and 17 pictures. Distribution separates into two major fields at an apparent diameter of roughly 15 km (equivalent to a rim-crest diameter of about 18 km). Crosses are four upland craters with transitional morphology, discussed in text. Craters below 900 m D_d may be a third field. Data available from the author upon request.



Topographic Diffusion & Crater Degradation





Topographic evolution
of elevation field h ,
with diffusivity κ :


$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \kappa \nabla^2 h$$

Two Sources of Topography Data: LOLA Laser Altimetry and Kaguya TC Stereo Imaging


Kaguya (Selene) Terrain Camera



Lunar Orbiter Laser Altimeter (LOLA)




LOLA 512ppd ($\sim 59\text{m/px}$) versus Kaguya Terrain Camera Stereo Data ($7\text{-}20\text{ m/px}$)




Methodology and Data Analysis

Map all craters $D=800\text{m}$ to 5 km





Extract topography for each crater






Mare inside Tsiolkovsky Crater

Methodology and Data Analysis




Fitting Diffusion Profiles

- Mapped, extracted topography, and fit diffusion profiles (in 2D) for 13000+ craters.
- Solve for three parameters:
 - H_0 : “zero value” for surrounding elevation
 - D_0 : initial diameter
 - κt : Degradation state
- Typical fitting uncertainties:
 - κt is $\sim 2.5\%$
 - D_0 is $\sim 0.5\%$(larger and more degraded craters have worse fits)



Crater Density on the Lunar Maria




$N(800\text{m})$: Crater density number of
 $D \geq 800\text{ m}$ craters per 10^3 km^2



Neukum Model Age (Ga) from Crater Density

Crater Density (Detail)




Factor of 10 × difference in crater density




N(800m): Crater density number of
 $D \geq 800$ m craters per 10^3 km^2

Computed in 50 km radius moving neighborhoods


Degradation State versus Crater Density



Degradation State versus Age




Tagging craters with an age



Degradation State, κt



Tagging craters with an age




Age (billions of years)

Application: Evolution of the Regolith


- S-band (12.6 cm) radar measurements is sensitive to rocks + roughness ~ 1 m depth.
- Circular Polarization Ratio, $CPR = SC/OC$
- Strategy:
 - Look at craters of estimated age, see how their surface materials evolve.



Application: Evolution of the Regolith




Diffusivity and Erosion History



- Typical diffusivity (at km-scale) over last ~ 3 Gyr is $\kappa \sim 5 \text{ m}^2/\text{Myr}$. Diffusivity is $\sim 200\times$ less than what is measured in the western US (e.g. $\kappa \sim 1000 \text{ m}^2/\text{Myr}$; *Colman and Watson 1983*).
- Reminder: Erosion Rate, $dh/dt = \kappa \nabla^2 h$. Median rate of change of topography driven by km-scales: 0.3 mm/Myr .


Application: Crater Erosion

After 3 Gy, a $D=1$ km crater is reduced to 50% of its original depth.




Application: Erosion Rate

Maximum local dh/dt estimated at 100-m baseline




Erosion & deposition at rates $\sim 2\text{-}3$ cm/Myr in areas with greatest topographic relief.


Application: Terrain Age



Application: Terrain Age (Detail: Imbrium + Serenitatis)



Crater Statistics




Crater Degradation

Application: Lunar Rilles



AS15-85-11398/AS15-85-11399
Photo Credit: Jim Irwin



Application: Lunar Rilles




Issue: Unlike with craters, lack good constraint on initial topography

Unnamed Rille in Marius Hills (Hurwitz et al. 2013, #40)

-56E, 13.7N




Application: Lunar Rilles



Rectangular initial profile:
90° interior slopes...

Final $\kappa t \sim 18300$. $t \sim 3.5$ Gyr
Infill ~ 40 m



Triangular initial profile:
30° interior slopes...


Final $\kappa t \sim 14500$. $t \sim 2.5$ Gyr
Infill ~ 60 m

Application: Lunar Rilles

- Many tens of meters of fill over age of exposure;
- Even after ~ 3 Gy of erosion, wall still is eroding back at ~ 3 cm/Myr.
- Consistent with exposures of numerous new rocks.

99% of >2 m rocks destroyed in 150 to 300 Myr (*Basilevsky et al., 2013*).

- Deviation from diffusive shape near rim may be due to weathering limitation imposed by breakdown of boulders and bedrock.



2015-2019: Insights into diffusive forcing

Soderblom (1970)




Fig. 2. The geometry of the ejecta trajectories of a crater eroding a surface with slope ϕ .

- Local proximal crater ejecta alone is totally insufficient. Enhanced micrometeorite flux also insufficient.
- Indirect motions of material triggered by distal ejecta/secondaries matters more than local ejecta.

NASA/GSFC/ASU/LROC team



March 17, 2013 impact crater
Before and After


See Speyerer et al., 2016
Minton et al., 2019

2015-2019: Diffusion is Anomalous, or, what I missed in 2014


- Effective κ experienced by **smaller** craters is **less** than larger ones.
- $\kappa_{\text{eff}} \sim \kappa_{\text{ref}} D^{4+\eta}$ where η is the slope of the CSFD and $\eta \sim -3.1$ for craters $< \sim 100\text{m}$.

- Crater lifetime:


$$\tau \sim \frac{D^{2-(4+\eta)}}{\kappa_{\text{ref}}} \propto D^{1.1}$$



2015-2019: Diffusion is Anomalous, or, what I missed in 2014




Fassett and Thomson (2014)



Re-analysis w/ scale-dependent κ_{eff}
(uses 0.9 power law dependence)

Summary so far


- Topographic evolution of craters and other landforms can be modeled as a diffusive process.
 - New calibration for the rate at which the Moon's surface topography changes.
- It's complicated, but with topography of craters, we can:
 - Estimate the age of individual craters & landforms;
 - Estimate the age of surfaces in a manner complementary to crater statistics.



Degradation State, kt

Summary so far

- Topographic evolution of craters and other landforms can be modeled as a diffusive process.
 - New calibration for the rate at which the Moon's surface topography changes.
- It's complicated, but with topography of craters, we can:
 - Estimate the age of individual craters & landforms;
 - Estimate the age of surfaces in a manner complementary to crater statistics.



Age (billions of years)

Why do we care?

On March 26, NASA was directed to land American astronauts on the Moon by 2024.

"We, the people of NASA, accept this challenge. We will go to the Moon in a way we have never gone before.... This time, when we go to the Moon, we will stay."

"And then we will use what we learn on the Moon to take the next giant leap - sending astronauts to Mars."

Jim Bridenstine, NASA Administrator



Where to?



Image from JAXA Kaguya

Conclusions

- We are converging on a model for how the topography and regolith of the Moon evolves, including *process* and *rate*.
- This understanding provides a framework for constraining the *age* of *individual craters, features, and surfaces*.

